1		
2	William P. Ramey, III (appearance pro hac vice) Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com RAMEY LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, TX 77006	
3		
4	Telephone: (713) 426-3923 Fax: (832) 689-9175	
5	Attorneys for Third-party William P. Ramey, III and Ramey LLP	
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	OAKLAND DIVISION	
11	LAURI VALJAKKA,	Case No. 4:22-cv-01490-JST
12	Plaintiff,	RAMEY LLP AND WILLIAM P
13	v.	RAMEY, III'S AMENDED RESI TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF
14		REGARDING EXPENSES AND
15	NETFLIX, INC.,	PURSUANT TO ECF NO. 324
16	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Jon S. Tigar
17		
18	Third parties, and former counsel, Ramey LLP and William P. Ram	
19	"Ramey LLP"), respectfully file this amended 1 response in opposition to the su	
20	by Netflix, Inc. for recovery of "unnecessary expenses, including attorney fees	
21	the alleged violation or breach, and set forth an appropriate justification for any	

RAMEY LLP AND WILLIAM P. RAMEY, III'S AMENDED RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF **REGARDING EXPENSES AND FEES PURSUANT TO ECF NO. 324**

l, Ramey LLP and William P. Ramey, III (collectively ended 1 response in opposition to the supplemental briefing ssary expenses, including attorney fees, directly caused by the alleged violation or breach, and set forth an appropriate justification for any attorney-fee hourly rate claimed," ² found by the Court in Doc. No. 324. While Ramey LLP is grateful that Netflix, Inc. ("NFX") lowered its requested fees from \$310,786 to \$95,000,3 Ramey LLP seeks a further reduction as Netflix has not shown how the attorneys' fees billed relate to "unnecessary expenses,

27

28

26

22

23

24

25

¹ This Amended response is filed to clarify that Mr. Valjakka is not opposed to a clarification that any fee award is solely against Ramey LLP and not William P. Ramey, III.

² Civ. L.R. 37-4(b)(3).

 $^{^{3}}$ NFX billed in excess of \$300,000.00 as shown in Doc. Nos. 333-1 – 333-7.

including attorney fees, directly caused by the alleged violation or breach," because Ramey LLP admitted from the start what it did and never tried to deceive NFX.

Ramey LLP disclosed to NFX in November of 2023 that the lawyers at AiPi had access to all material from the case.⁴ Ramey LLP understands that the law of the case is that Ramey LLP breached the PO by sharing those documents. However, as Ramey LLP admitted to its acts, there was no need for NFX to incur any expense after Ramey LLP admitted that it shared the material other than conducting the further discovery to verify no further disclosures, the deposition authorized by the Court. Any further investigations was unnecessary as the acts that the Court ruled a breach were already admitted.

Invoicing \$95,000 for one deposition is extreme. A more realistic amount would be 1/3 of that amount or \$32,000. Even at NFX's counsel's high billing rates, this would allow adequate time for preparation for the deposition and the actual 3.5 hour deposition, which all three partners attended. Therefore, to be reasonable, Ramey LLP requests the awarded fees and expenses are reduced to \$32,000.00.

As well, Ramey LLP and William P. Ramey, III respectfully request the Court clarify its order by assessing the fees and expenses awarded against Ramey LLP, as William P. Ramey, III was performing services for Ramey LLP. William P. Ramey, III requested NFX's position on this clarification and they are opposed. For clarification, Mr. Valjakka is not opposed to this request.

CONCLUSION

Ramey LLP and William P. Ramey, III respectfully requests the Court award Netflix, Inc. no more than \$32,000 assessed against Ramey, LLP, solely.

Dated: September 23, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ William P. Ramey, III
William P. Ramey, III (appearance pro hac vice)

⁴ Doc. No. 311-1 at ¶¶3-8.

Case No. 4:22-CV-01490-JST

Case 4:22-cv-01490-JST Document 346 Filed 09/23/25

Page 4 of 4